	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/541,418	STENGER, ALEXANDER
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	Ramnandan Singh	2614	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) *Ramnandan Singh, Examiner.*   (3)_____.

(2) *Richard B. Main, Attorney fo Applicant.*   (4)_____.

Date of Interview: *29 October 2007*.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic   b)☐ Video Conference
      c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant   2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes   e)☒ No.
  If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: *1,6 and 11*.

Identification of prior art discussed: *NONE*.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☒ was reached.   g)☐ was not reached.   h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *See Continuation Sheet*.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.   _____
                                       Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an
agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant has agreed to the following amendment:

1) In the claims:

(i) Claim 1, line # 11, delete "near".

(ii) Claim 1, line # 19, change "said" to -- an--.

(iii) Claim 1, line # 22, change "near maximum" to --maximum -- .

(iv) Claim 1, line # 22, change "near minimum" to --minimum -- .

(v) Claim 1, line # 25, change "limited" to --reduced -- .

(vi) Claim 6, line # 7, delete "near".

(vii) Claim 11, line # 9, change "high" to -- maximum--.

(viii)   Claim 11, line # 9, after "continuously" -exponentially decayed-- has been inserted.

(ix) Claim 11, line # 10, change "low" to -- minimum--..